Article 64 — Where are we?

regarding solar arrays on ten acres of Concord land

RE THE SUBSTANCE, of the question

Assertion:

"We must have Article 64, to move ahead. Only if companies prepare proposals can Concord act."

Fact:

• Not true – resoundingly. In fact, we *must not* have financial interests driving our planning process. We should have a neutral expert, to help us plan, instead.

Assertion:

"We can get a 'good deal,' low prices, now. So we should do it."

Fact:

- Concord may actually do better, by waiting at least a year. See <u>solar.concord-trustingtheprocess.org/ifYear.html</u>, for the calculation.
- More profoundly, the real costs of solar are at least double other renewables. Dollars we put there deprive us of double the power and hence further reduced carbon unless we choose responsibly.

But. Concord must act – wisely – to move to renewables. Particularly, we need more power generation in-town – economics drives it. So, what has the Town process so far given us?

RE THE PROCESS, so far — the real issue before us

- We have a proposal started by outside financial interests but not yet developed through trusted governance, across Concord's annual Town Meeting cycle.
- Work is so preliminary that the land parcels to use are in flux and total usable acreage, apparently, may be no more than half.
- The Light Plant board was not informed until recently.

We can do better than this — we must.

Most of us feel that a move to renewables is essential. Rather than an artificial debate over an article that is not ready, where both 'yay' and 'nay' feel we need renewables:

We need not to move Article 64. Then we can affirm our commitment to renewables. And proceed with a trusted, transparent process. Where we get good decisions.

And pride.